UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,088	01/05/2007	Laurent Blonde	PF030145	9313
	7590 09/01/201 d, Patent Operations	EXAMINER		
THOMSON Lic P.O. Box 5312		DO, ANH HONG		
Princeton, NJ 0	8543-5312	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/572,088		BLONDE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		ANH H. DO		2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 J</u>	une 2010						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
′=	, 							
ا ال								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-12, 14, and 15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
<i>′</i> —	· · ———	r alaction requiremen	. +					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
-			ed to by the E	xaminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Pape 5) Notice	view Summary (l er No(s)/Mail Dat ce of Informal Pa er:	e				

Application/Control Number: 10/572,088 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 6/15/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

35 U.S.C. 112: The amendment to claim 1 and the cancellation of claim 13 have overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, therefore it is withdrawn.

<u>35 U.S.C. 101</u>: Although claim 1 has been amended, it is still not tied to any particular machine/apparatus, therefore it does not qualify as a statutory process.

Accordingly, the rejection should be sustained.

35 U.S.C. 102:

- In response to the Applicant's argument that the cited prior art does not teach "a source image generating at least two successive processed images", it should be noted that a source image 90 (in which letters "C" and "M" overlapped) generating two successive processed images 90 (one with letter "C" and another with letter "M") (see Fig. 4).
- With respect to the Applicant's argument that the cited prior art does not disclose "modified colors of the pixel in each processed image offset each other in order to obtain a color corresponding to the color of the pixel in the source image", it should be noted the cited prior art clearly shows modified colors of the pixel in each processed image 90 offset each other in order to obtain a color (i.e., darker) corresponding to the color (i.e., lighter) of the pixel in the source image 71 or 72 (see Fig. 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/572,088

Art Unit: 2624

For the foregoing reasons, it is believed that the rejection should be sustained.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 15 cannot depend from two claims 8 (method claim) and 14 (apparatus claim).

Also, claim 15 recites "according to claim 8 according to claim 14", which is not understandable and therefore renders the claim indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent¹ and recent Federal Circuit decisions² indicate that a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to

¹ Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876).

² In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).

Application/Control Number: 10/572,088

Art Unit: 2624

another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. While the instant claim(s) recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, the claim(s) neither transform underlying subject matter nor positively tie to another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed method steps, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process. For example, the steps of "generating" and "modifying" in claim 1 are not tied to a particular apparatus, thus does not qualify as a statutory process.

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sharma et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,215,792).

Regarding claim 1, Sharma discloses:

- generating at least two successive processed images 90 (one with letter "C" and another with letter "M");

Page 5

- modifying a color of at least one pixel in each processed image 90, such that the color of the pixel in each processed image 90 is offset about the color of the corresponding pixel in the source image 71 or 72 (Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 14, Sharma discloses a processing circuit generating from a source image 71 or 72 at least two successive processed images 90 (one with letter "C" and another with letter "M") in which color of at least one pixel in each processed image 90 is different (i.e., darker) from a color of the same pixel in the source image 71 or 72, and in which the modified colors of this pixel in each processed image 90 offset each other in order to obtain a color corresponding to the color of the pixel in the source image 71 or 72 (Fig. 4).

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/572,088 Page 6

Art Unit: 2624

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANH H. DO whose telephone number is 571-272-7433. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW BELLA can be reached on 571-272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 29, 2010

/ANH H DO/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624